

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
. 09/773,452	01/31/2001	Timothy D. Neveu	37090-6033	8034
33123 7	590 06/01/2004		EXAM	INER
DAVID A. H	ALL ·		COBURN, CORBETT B	
HELLER EHR	MAN ET AL.			
4350 LA JOLLA VILLAGE DRIVE #700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN DIEGO,			3714	

DATE MAILED: 06/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			۸/
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	()
~	09/773,452	NEVEU ET AL.	U
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Corbett B. Coburn	3714	
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio		with the correspondence address	;
eriod for Reply	DEDLY IS SET TO EVOIDE A	MONTHO FROM	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory in - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may on. In a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Most attaction to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communi ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
tatus		•	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	05 September 2003.		
,	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for al		atters, prosecution as to the mer	its is
closed in accordance with the practice un	•	• •	
isposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-63</u> is/are pending in the applic	ation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>15-21,36-42 and</u>		n consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14,22-25 and 43-56</u> is/are reje	ected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.		
pplication Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 January 2001</u> is		objected to by the Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any objection t			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c	* ' '	• •	121/d)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	·		• •
,,			
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. Certified copies of the priority documents.	ments have been received.		
		- · ·	9
 Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B 		an received in this National Stagi	-
* See the attached detailed Office action for		ot received.	
ttachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		v Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	·	o(s)/Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date	SB/08) 5) \(\bigcap \text{Notice o} \) 6) \(\bigcap \text{Other:} \(\bigcap \text{Other:} \)	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/773,452 Page 2

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 9, 22-25, 30, 43-46 and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Matsuno (6,409,604).

Regarding claims 1-3, 9, 22-24, 30, 43-45 and 51, Matsuno teaches a computer readable program and method of designating candidate objects with respect to an initial object in a virtual environment of an information processing system that comprises displaying one or more candidate objects on a display screen; displaying a candidate range indicator on the display screen in response to actuation of a candidate input interface on an input device, the candidate range indicator comprising a visual indication of a candidate range for the initial object; displaying a visual indication in association with a first candidate object in response to the first candidate object intersecting at least a portion of the candidate range indicator on the display screen, the visual indication being associated with a first designation input interface on the input device; and causing a predetermined action from the initial object with respect to the candidate object in response to actuation of the first designation input interface (abstract; Fig. 5; Fig. 21; col. 1, lines 65-67; col. 2, lines 1-12, lines 24-30, lines 53-55 and lines 65-67; Fig. 24).

Application/Control Number: 09/773,452 Page 3

Art Unit: 3714

Regarding claims 4, 25, and 46, Matsuno teaches all the elements of the claims. Matsuno further teaches that the size of the bounded area is a function of the weapon with which the player object is equipped (col. 13, lines 44-47 and col. 14, lines 6-1 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 5-6, 26-27 and 47-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsuno (6,409,604).

Regarding claims 5-6, 26-27 and 47-48, Matsuno teaches all the limitations of the claim as discussed above. While Matsuno teaches the use of an input device, Matsuno is silent regarding that input device being a joystick. The examiner takes official notice that it is well known in the art to use joysticks as input devices. Further, it is well known to have a neutral position (no input, where characters remain still) and non-neutral (input, where characters are moved in various directions in XYZ plane) for joystick input devices. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include a joystick as the input device in Matsuno to make it easier for the player to quickly manipulate the device, thereby increasing the accuracy of the input.

5. Claims 7-8, 10-14, 28-29, 31-35, 49-50 and 52-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as

Application/Control Number: 09/773,452 Page 4

Art Unit: 3714

being unpatentable over Matsuno (6,409,604) in view of Ohnuma et al (6,375,571).

Regarding claims 7-8, 13-14, 28-29, Matsuno teaches all the limitations of the claims as discussed above. Matsuno is silent regarding the feature of displaying an attack icon that is associated with an input interface on an input device. In an analogous gaming system, Ohnuma teaches an icon on the display that is associated with an input interface on an input device (col. 2, lines 25-33; Fig. 10, #204). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the icon of Ohnuma in the display of Matsuno in order to assist the player in using the button that gives the most appropriate input for attacking the enemy character (such as kick, jump, etc.) in order to increase the chances of the players success against the enemy character.

Regarding claims 10-12, 31-35, 49-50 and 52-56, Matsuno and Ohnuma teach the limitations of the claims as discussed above. The references are silent regarding the explicit teaching of the player object attacking the enemy character while facing away from the enemy character. However, the examiner takes official notice that it is well known in the art to have characters facing in various directions, depending on the particular programming of the video game. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include this feature in Matsuno and Ohnuma in order to enhance the video graphics of the gaming system, thereby making the game more attractive to players.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 5 September 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 09/773,452

Art Unit: 3714

- 7. Applicant argues that Matsuno fails to teach a visual indication that is associated with a first designation input device. This is clearly not the case. Figs 13 & 14 show displaying a visual indication (Range Area S11 and Highlight S13) associated with a first designation input interface on the input device. The visual indication is only displayed if magic is selected (S6). Magic may only be selected by use of the input device. This means the visual indication is associated with first designation input interface on the input device i.e., the part of the input device used to select magic. Furthermore, Matsuno explicitly teaches that the display of the visual indication is in response to an input operation. (Col 2, 21-34)
- 8. Applicant's argument that the prior art fails to teach, "associating a [particular] controller button input interface with a visual indication of a candidate object" is not commensurate with the scope of the claims.

Conclusion

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3714

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Corbett B. Coburn whose telephone number is (703) 305-3319. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5:30, Monday-Friday, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jessica Harrison can be reached on (703) 308-2217. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

cho

JÉSSICA HARRISON PRIMARY EXAMINER